

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,589	12/28/2004	Erich Becker	SMB-PT123 (PC03 200 B US)	9648	
VOLPE AND	7590 KOENIG, P.C. 07/29/2008	EXAMINER			
UNITED PLA	ZA, SUITE 1600		KRAMER, DEVON C		
30 SOUTH 17 PHILADELPH	TH STREET IIA, PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3746		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/29/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/519,589	BECKER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DEVON C. KRAMER	3746		

	DEVON (C. KRAMER	3746						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the	cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing									
b) Me The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.									
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CER 1.136(a). The date of		netition under 37 CFR 1.1	36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL									
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filled within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 									
AMENDMENTS									
 I he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a)									
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	ter form for	appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orrespond	ing number of finally reie	cted claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See att	ached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).			•						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 430(11).									
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.									
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See continuation sheet.									
See continuation sneer. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)									
13. Other:									
/Devon C Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746	SF	von C Kramer PE t Unit: 3746							

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Please note that according to Websters, "clamped" means "to fasten with". Applicant argues that Broyan fails to teach a flexible material clamped in a central region. It is the examiner's opinion that the disk (10) of Broyan can be considered to be clamped via bolt (11) bewteen two housing portions in a central region. Clearly the bolt clamps the disk between the two housing portions. Purplet, please not that the disk is clamped or restrained from movement in the radial direction by the housing portions and the bolt (11). Further, please note that any portions of either Broyan or Edwards where fluid can flow when the valve is unseated can be considered through flow openings of the valve. Broyan teaches gaps between the extensions and the valve disk, and in Edwards between the extensions and the valve disk, and in Edwards between